

ON GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS OF *BCH*-ALGEBRAS

KYUNG HO KIM*

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to introduce the notion of a generalized derivations of *BCH*-algebras and some related properties are investigated.

1. Introduction

In 1966, Imai and Iseki introduced two classes of abstract algebras, *BCK*-algebra and *BCI*-algebras [6]. It is known that the class of *BCI*-algebras is a generalization of the class of *BCK*-algebras. In 1983, Hu and Li [3] introduced the notion of a *BCH*-algebra, which is a generalization of the notions of *BCK*-algebras and *BCI*-algebras. They have studied a few properties of these algebras. In this paper, we introduce the notion of generalized derivations of *BCH*-algebras and investigate some properties of generalized derivations in a *BCH*-algebra. Moreover, we introduce the notions of fixed set and kernel set of generalized derivations in a *BCH*-algebra and obtained some interesting properties in medial *BCH*-algebras. Also, we discuss the relations between ideals in a medial *BCH*-algebras.

2. Preliminary

By a *BCH*-algebra, we mean an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ with a single binary operation “*” that satisfies the following identities for any $x, y, z \in X$:

$$(BCH1) \quad x * x = 0,$$

Received November 13, 2015; Accepted January 15, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 03G25, 06B10, 06D99, 06B35, 06B99.

Key words and phrases: *BCH*-algebra, derivation, generalized derivation, regular, isotone, Torsion free, fixed set.

This was supported by Korea National University of Transportation in 2015.

- (BCH2) $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ imply $x = y$, where $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$.
 (BCH3) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$.

In a *BCH*-algebra X , the following identities are true for all $x, y \in X$:

- (BCH4) $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$,
 (BCH5) $x * 0 = 0$ implies $x = 0$,
 (BCH6) $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$,
 (BCH7) $x * 0 = x$,
 (BCH8) $(x * y) * x = 0 * y$,
 (BCH9) $x * y = 0$ implies $0 * x = 0 * y$,
 (BCH10) $x * (x * y) \leq y$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let I be a nonempty subset of a *BCH*-algebra X . Then I is called an *ideal* of X if it satisfies:

- (i) $0 \in I$,
 (ii) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

DEFINITION 2.2. A *BCH*-algebra X is said to be *medial* if it satisfies

$$(x * y) * (z * w) = (x * z) * (y * w)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$.

In a medial *BCH*-algebra X , the following identity hold:

- (BCH11) $x * (x * y) = y$ for all $x, y \in X$.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let X be a *BCH*-algebra. Then the set $X_+ = \{x \in X \mid 0 * x = 0\}$ is called a *BCA-part* of X .

DEFINITION 2.4. Let X be a *BCH*-algebra. Then the set $G(X) = \{x \in X \mid 0 * x = x\}$.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let X be a *BCH*-algebra. If we define an operation “+”, called *addition*, as $x + y = x * (0 * y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, then $(X, +)$ is an abelian group with identity 0 and the additive inverse $-x = 0 * x$, for all $x \in X$.

REMARK 2.6. If we have a *BCH*-algebra $(X, *, 0)$, it follows from the above definition that $(X, +)$ is an abelian group with $-y = 0 * y$, for all $y \in X$. Then we have $x - y = x * y$, for all $x, y \in X$. On the other hand, if we choose an abelian group $(X, +)$ with an identity 0 and define $x * y = x - y$, we get a *BCH*-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ where $x + y = x * (0 * y)$, for every $x, y \in X$.

For a *BCH*-algebra X , we denote $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$ for all $x, y \in X$. A *BCH*-algebra X is said to be *commutative* if for all $x, y \in X$,

$$y * (y * x) = x * (x * y), \quad \text{i.e., } x \wedge y = y \wedge x.$$

3. Generalized derivations of *BCH*-algebras

In what follows, let X denote a *BCH*-algebra unless otherwise specified.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let X be a *BCH*-algebra. A map $D : X \rightarrow X$ is called a *generalized left-right derivation* (briefly, *generalized (l, r) -derivation*) of X if there exists a derivation $d : X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$D(x * y) = (D(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y)),$$

for every $x, y \in X$. If D satisfies the identity $D(x * y) = (x * D(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, then it is said that D is a *generalized right-left derivation* (briefly, *generalized (r, l) -derivation*) of X .

Moreover, If D is both a *generalized (l, r)* and *(r, l) -derivation* of X , it is said that D is a *generalized derivation* of X .

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a *BCH*-algebra with Cayley table as follows:

*	0	1	2
0	0	0	2
1	1	0	2
2	2	2	0

Define a self-map $d : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 2 \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that d is both *(l, r)* and *(r, l) -derivation* of a *BCH*-algebra X . Also, define a map $D : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$D(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x = 0, 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 2. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that D is a *generalized derivation* of X .

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a *BCH*-algebra with Cayley table as follows:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	2	2
1	1	0	2	2
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	2	1	0

Define a self-map $d : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x = 0, 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 2, 3 \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that d is a derivation of a *BCH*-algebra X . Also, define a map $D : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$D(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that D is a generalized derivation of X .

DEFINITION 3.4. A self-map D of a *BCH*-algebra X is said to be *regular* if $D(0) = 0$.

EXAMPLE 3.5. A generalized derivation D in Example 3.3 is regular.

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let D be a self-map of a medial *BCH*-algebra X . Then,

- (1) If D is a generalized (l, r) -derivation of X , then $D(x) = D(x) \wedge x$, for all $x \in X$,
- (2) If D is a generalized (r, l) -derivation of X , then $D(0) = 0$ if and only if $D(x) = x \wedge d(x)$, for all $x \in X$.

Proof. (1) Let D be a generalized (r, l) -derivation of X . Then, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} D(x) &= D(x * 0) = (D(x) * 0) \wedge (x * d(0)) \\ &= D(x) \wedge (x * d(0)) = (x * d(0)) * ((x * d(0)) * D(x)) \\ &= (x * d(0)) * ((x * D(x)) * d(0)) && \text{(since } (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y \text{)} \\ &= x * (x * D(x)) && \text{(since } (x * y) * (t * s) = (x * t) * (y * s) \text{)} \\ &= D(x) \wedge x. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let D be a generalized (r, l) -derivation on X such that $D(0) = 0$. Then

$$D(x * y) = (x * D(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y) \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Putting $y = 0$ in (1), we have $D(x * 0) = (x * D(0)) \wedge (d(x) * 0)$, that is, $D(x) = (x * 0) \wedge d(x) = x \wedge d(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Conversely, if $D(x) = x \wedge d(x)$, then we have

$$D(0) = 0 \wedge d(0) = d(0) * (d(0) * 0) = d(0) * d(0) = 0.$$

□

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let D be a generalized derivation of X . If $D(x) * x = 0$ for all $x \in X$, then D is regular.*

Proof. Let $D(x) * x = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(0) &= D(x * x) = (D(x) * x) \wedge (x * d(x)) \\ &= 0 \wedge (x * d(x)) = (x * d(x)) * ((x * d(x)) * 0) \\ &= (x * d(x)) * (x * d(x)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence D is regular. □

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let D be a generalized derivation of X . Then we have for all $x, y \in X$,*

- (1) $D(x * y) \leq D(x) * y$,
- (2) $D(x * D(x)) = 0$.

Proof. Let D be a generalized derivation of X . Then for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad D(x * y) &= (D(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y)) \\ &= (x * d(x)) * ((x * d(x)) * (D(x) * y)) \\ &\leq D(x) * y. \end{aligned}$$

(2) For any $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x * D(x)) &= (D(x) * D(x)) \wedge (x * d(D(x))) \\ &= 0 \wedge (x * d(D(x))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Let D be a generalized (l, r) -derivation of X . If there exists $a \in X$ such that $D(x) * a = 0$ for all $x \in X$, then D is regular.*

Proof. Let $D(x) * a = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D(x * a) * a = ((D(x) * a) \wedge (x * d(a))) * a \\ &= (0 \wedge (x * d(a))) * a \\ &= 0 * a, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $a \in X_+$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} D(0) &= D(0 * a) \\ &= (D(0) * a) \wedge (0 * d(a)) \\ &= 0 \wedge (0 * d(a)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence D is regular. \square

PROPOSITION 3.10. *Let D be a generalized (r, l) -derivation of X . If there exists $a \in X$ such that $a * D(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, then D is regular.*

Proof. Let $a * D(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a * D(a * x) = a * ((a * D(x)) \wedge (d(a) * x)) \\ &= a * (0 \wedge (d(a) * x)) \\ &= a * 0 = a \end{aligned}$$

that is, $a \in X_+$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} D(0) &= D(a) = D(a * 0) \\ &= (a * D(0)) \wedge (a * d(0)) \\ &= 0 \wedge (a * d(0)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence D is regular. \square

PROPOSITION 3.11. *Let D be a generalized left derivation of X and let D be regular. Then $D : X \rightarrow X$ is an identity map if it satisfies $D(x) * y = x * D(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.*

Proof. Since D is regular, we have $D(0) = 0$. Let $x * D(y) = D(x) * y$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then $D(x) = D(x) * 0 = x * D(0) = x * 0 = x$. Thus D is an identity map. \square

DEFINITION 3.12. Let X be a *BCH*-algebra. A self-map D on X is said to be *isotone* if $x \leq y$ implies $D(x) \leq D(y)$ for $x, y \in X$.

PROPOSITION 3.13. *Let D be a generalized left derivation of X and let D be regular. Then $D(x * y) = D(x) * D(y)$ implies $D(x \wedge y) = D(x) \wedge D(y)$.*

Proof. Let $D(x * y) = D(x) * D(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we have for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
D(x \wedge y) &= D(y * (y * x)) \\
&= D(y) * D(y * x) \\
&= D(y) * [D(y) * D(x)] \\
&= D(x) \wedge D(y)
\end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 3.14. *Let D be a generalized derivation of X . If $D(x \wedge y) = D(x) \wedge D(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then D is isotone.*

Proof. Let $D(x \wedge y) = D(x) \wedge D(y)$ and $x \leq y$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then $x * y = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D(x) &= D(x * 0) \\
&= D(x * (x * y)) \\
&= D(y \wedge x) \\
&= D(y) \wedge D(x) \\
&= D(x) * [D(x) * D(y)] \\
&\leq D(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $D(x) \leq D(y)$, and so D is isotone. □

PROPOSITION 3.15. *Let D be a generalized derivation of a medial *BCH*-algebra X . Then $D(x * y) = D(x) * y$ for all $x, y \in X$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. Then we have

$$D(x * y) = (D(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y)) = (x * d(y)) * ((x * d(y)) * (D(x) * y)) = D(x) * y.$$

□

PROPOSITION 3.16. *Let D be a generalized (l, r) -derivation of a medial *BCH*-algebra X . Then, the following conditions hold,*

- (1) $D(a) = D(0) + a$, for all $a \in X$,
- (2) $D(a + x) = D(a) + x$, for all $a, x \in X$,
- (3) $D(a + b) = D(a) + b = a + D(b)$, for all $a, b \in X$.

Proof. (1) Let D be a generalized (l, r) -derivation of a medial *BCH*-algebra X . Then we have

$$D(a) = D(0 * (0 * x)) = (D(0) * (0 * a)) \wedge (0 * d(0 * a)) = D(0) * (0 * a),$$

which implies $D(a) = D(0) + a$, for all $a \in X$.

(2) For all $a, x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(a+x) &= D(a * (0 * x)) = (D(a) * (0 * x)) \wedge (a * d(0 * x)) \\ &= D(a) * (0 * x) = D(a) + x. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Since $(X, +)$ is an abelian group, we get

$$D(a) + b = D(a+b) = D(b+a) = D(b) + a,$$

for all $a, b \in X$. □

PROPOSITION 3.17. *Let D be a generalized (r, l) -derivation of a medial BCH-algebra X . Then, the following conditions hold,*

- (1) $D(a) \in G(X)$, for all $a \in G(X)$,
- (2) $D(a) = a * D(0) = a + D(0)$, for all $a \in X$,
- (3) $D(a+b) = D(a) + D(b) - D(0)$, for all $a, b \in X$,
- (4) D is an identity map on X if and only if $D(0) = 0$.

Proof. (1) For $a \in G(X)$, we have

$$D(a) = D(0 * a) = (0 * D(a)) \wedge (d(0) * a) = 0 * D(a),$$

which implies $D(a) \in G(X)$.

(2) Now, since $D(a) = D(a * 0) = (a * D(0)) \wedge (d(a) * 0)$, for all $a \in X$, we have

$$D(a) = a * D(0) = a * D(0 * 0) = a * (0 * D(0)) = a + D(0).$$

(3) By (2) we get $D(a+b) = (a+b) + D(0)$ and $D(b) = b + D(0)$. Since $(X, +)$ is an abelian group, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(a+b) &= (a+b) + D(0) = (a + D(0)) + b \\ &= D(a) + b = D(a) + (D(b) - D(0)) \\ &= D(a) + D(b) - D(0). \end{aligned}$$

(4) If $D(0) = 0$, then we have, for every $a \in X$,

$$D(a) = D(a * 0) = a * D(0) = a * 0 = a,$$

which implies D is an identity map on X . Conversely, if D is an identity map on X , then $D(a) = a$ for all $a \in X$, and so $D(0) = 0$. □

DEFINITION 3.18. A BCH-algebra X is said to be *Torsion free* if it satisfies

$$x + x = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0,$$

for all $x \in X$.

If there exists a nonzero element $x \in X$ such that $x + x = 0$, then X is not Torsion free.

EXAMPLE 3.19. Let $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$ be a *BCH*-algebra with Cayley table as follows:

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	a
b	b	c	0	c
c	c	0	0	0

Then X is a Torsion free since $0 + 0 = 0 * (0 * 0) = 0$, $a + a = a * (0 * a) = a * 0 = a$, $b + b = b * (0 * b) = b * 0 = b$, $c + c = c * (0 * c) = c * 0 = c$. But in Example 3.2, X is not a Torsion free since $2 + 2 = 2 * (0 * 2) = 2 * 2 = 0$.

THEOREM 3.20. Let X be a Torsion free *BCH*-algebra and let D_1 and D_2 be generalized derivations of X . If $D_1 D_2 = 0$ on X , then $D_2 = 0$ on X .

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Then $x + x \in X$, and so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (D_1 D_2)(x + x) \\
&= D_1(D_2(x + x)) \\
&= D_1(0) + D_2(x + x) \quad (\text{since } D(a) = D(0) + a) \\
&= D_1(0) + D_2(x) + D_2(x) - D_2(0) \quad (\text{by proposition 3.17 (3)}) \\
&= D_1(0) - D_2(0) + D_2(x) + D_2(x) \\
&= (D_1(0) * D_2(0)) + D_2(x) + D_2(x) \\
&= (D_1(0) * (0 * D_2(0))) + D_2(x) + D_2(x) \\
&= D_1(D_2(0)) + D_2(x) + D_2(x) \\
&= (D_1 D_2(0)) + D_2(x) + D_2(x) \\
&= 0 + D_2(x) + D_2(x) \\
&= D_2(x) + D_2(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Since X is Torsion free, we have $D_2(x) = 0$, for all $x \in X$, and so $D_2 = 0$ on X . \square

In the above theorem, if we replace both the generalized derivations D_1 and D_2 by a generalized derivation D itself, we get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.21. Let X be a Torsion free *BCH*-algebra and let D be a generalized derivation. If $D^2 = 0$, then $D = 0$ on X .

Proof. Let $D^2 = 0$ on X . Then $D^2(x) = 0$, for all $x \in X$. Now, for any $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D^2(x+x) = D(D(x+x)) \\ &= D(0) + D(x+x) \quad (\text{since } D(a) = D(0) + a) \\ &= D(0) + D(x) + D(x) - D(0) \\ &= D(x) + D(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since X is Torsion free, we have $D(x) = 0$, for all $x \in X$, proving $D = 0$, for all $x \in X$. \square

Let D be a generalized derivation of X . Define a set $Fix_D(X)$ by

$$Fix_D(X) = \{x \in X \mid D(x) = x\}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.22. *Let D be a generalized derivation of a medial BCH-algebra X . If $x \in Fix_D(X)$ and for any $y \in X$, then $x * y \in Fix_D(x)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in Fix_D(X)$ and $y \in X$. Then $D(x) = x$, and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x * y) &= (D(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(x)) \\ &= (x * y) \wedge (x * d(y)) \\ &= (x * d(y)) * [(x * d(y)) * (x * y)] \\ &= x * y \end{aligned}$$

which implies $x * y \in Fix_D(X)$. \square

PROPOSITION 3.23. *Let D be a generalized derivation of a medial BCH-algebra X . If $x \in Fix_D(X)$ and $y \in X$, then $x \wedge y \in Fix_D(X)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in Fix_D(X)$ and $y \in X$. Then $D(x) = x$, and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x \wedge y) &= D(x * (x * y)) \\ &= (D(x) * (x * y)) \wedge (x * d(x * y)) \\ &= (x * (x * y)) \wedge (x * d(x * y)) \\ &= (x * d(x * y)) * [(x * d(x * y)) * (x * (x * y))] \\ &= x * (x * y) = x \wedge y, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $x \wedge y \in Fix_D(X)$. \square

PROPOSITION 3.24. *Let D be a generalized derivation of X . If $x \in Fix_D(X)$, then we have $(D \circ D)(x) = x$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \text{Fix}_D(X)$. Then we have

$$(D \circ D)(x) = D(D(x)) = D(x) = x.$$

This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 3.25. *Let D be a generalized derivation of a medial BCH-algebra of X . If $\text{Fix}_D(X) \neq \phi$, then D is regular.*

Proof. Let $y \in \text{Fix}_D(X)$. Then we get $D(y) = y$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D(0) &= D(0 \wedge y) \\ &= D(y * (y * 0)) \\ &= (D(y) * (y * 0)) \wedge (y * d(y * 0)) \\ &= (y * (y * 0)) \wedge (y * d(y)) \\ &= (y * y) \wedge (y * d(y)) \\ &= 0 \wedge (y * d(y)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence D is regular. \square

THEOREM 3.26. *Let D be a generalized derivation of a medial BCH-algebra X . Then $\text{Fix}_D(X)$ is an ideal of X .*

Proof. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra and let D be a generalized derivation of X . Then by Theorem 3.25, D is regular, and so $0 \in \text{Fix}_D(X)$. Let $x * y \in \text{Fix}_D(X)$ and $y \in \text{Fix}_D(X)$. Then we get $D(x * y) = x * y$ and $D(y) = y$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x) &= D(x \wedge y) = D(y * (y * x)) \\ &= (D(y) * (y * x)) \wedge (y * d(y * x)) \\ &= (y * (y * x)) \wedge (y * d(y * x)) \\ &= (y * d(y * x)) * [(y * d(y * x)) * (y * (y * x))] \\ &= y * (y * x) = x, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $x \in \text{Fix}_D(X)$. This implies that $\text{Fix}_D(X)$ is an ideal of X . \square

THEOREM 3.27. *Let D is a generalized derivation of X and let D is regular. Then the following identities are equivalent:*

- (1) D is an isotone generalized derivation of X .
- (2) $x \leq y$ implies $D(x * y) = D(x) * D(y)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \leq y$. Then $x * y = 0$. Hence $D(x * y) = D(0) = 0 = D(x) * D(y)$ since $D(x) \leq D(y)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $x \leq y$. Then $0 = D(0) = D(x * y) = D(x) * D(y)$, which implies $D(x) \leq D(y)$. \square

Let D be a generalized derivation of X . Define a $KerD$ by

$$KerD = \{x \mid D(x) = 0\}$$

for all $x \in X$.

PROPOSITION 3.28. *Let D be a generalized (r, l) -derivation of a medial BCH-algebra X and let D is regular. Then $KerD$ is an ideal of X .*

Proof. Clearly, $0 \in KerD$. Let $x * y \in KerD$ and $y \in KerD$. Then we have $0 = D(x * y) = (x * D(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y) = x * D(y) = x * 0 = x$, and so $D(x) = D(0) = 0$. This implies $x \in KerD$. Hence $KerD$ is an ideal of X . \square

References

- [1] M. A. Chaudhry, *On BCH-algebras*, Math. Japonica. **36** (1991), no. 4, 665-676.
- [2] M. A. Chaudhry and H. Fakhar-ud-din, *Ideals and Filter in BCH-algebras*, Math. Japonica. **44** (1996), no. 1, 101-112.
- [3] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On BCH-algebra*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. **11** (1983), no. 2, 313-320.
- [4] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On proper BCH-algebra*, Math. Japon. **30** (1985), no. 4, 659-661.
- [5] K. Iseki, *An algebra related with a propositional calculus*, Proc. Japon Acad **42** (1966), 26-29.
- [6] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, *On axiom system of propositional calculi XIV*, Proc. Japan Academy **42** (1966), 19-22.

*

Department of Mathematics
Korea National University of Transportation
Chungju 380-702, Republic of Korea
E-mail: ghkim@ut.ac.kr